# Facility Planning: Bridges -- No. 509132

Category Agency

Transportation

**Public Works & Transportation** 

Planning Area Countywide Relocation Impact None.

Date Last Modified

Previous PDF Page Number Required Adequate Public Facility January 3, 2004 11-12(03 App)

				CALCIADIT		.DOEL (40)	00)				
Cost Element	Total	Thru FY03	Est. FY04	Total 6 Years	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	Beyond 6 Years
Planning, Design and Supervision	7,297	5,223	193	1,881	399	482	250	250	250	250	0
Land	71	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site Improvements and Utilities	60	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	7,457	5,383	193	1,881	399	482	250	250	250	250	*
				FUNDIN	G SCHED	JLE (\$000)	·				<u> </u>
PAYGO	340	340	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0
G.O. Bonds	5,919	3,845	193	1,881	399	482	250	250	250	250	0
Federal Aid	1,198	1,198	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			ANNU	AL OPERA	TING BUD	GET IMPA	CT (\$000)		L		

### **DESCRIPTION**

This ongoing project provides studies for bridge projects under consideration for inclusion in the CIP. Facility Planning serves as a transition stage for a project between identification of need and its inclusion as a stand-alone project in the CIP. Prior to the establishment of a stand-alone project, DPWT will complete a design which outlines the general and specific features required on the project. Selected projects range in type, but typically consist of upgrading deficient bridges so that they can safely carry all legal loads which must be accommodated while providing a minimum of two travel lanes. Facility Planning is a decisionmaking process to design bridges which are already identified as deficient. For a full description of the Facility Planning process, see the CIP Planning Section. Candidate projects currently included are listed in the "Other" section below.

## Service Area

Countywide

### **JUSTIFICATION**

There is continuing need for the development of accurate cost estimates and an exploration of alternatives for proposed projects. Facility planning costs for all projects which ultimately become stand-alone PDFs are included here. These costs will not be reflected in the resulting individual project. Future individual CIP projects which result from facility planning will each benefit from reduced planning and design costs.

Biennial inspections performed since 1987 have consistently shown that the bridges currently included in the project for design studies are in need of major rehabilitation or replacement. A review of impacts to pedestrians, bicycles and ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act of 1991) will be performed and addressed by this ongoing project. Traffic signals, streetlights, crosswalks, bus stops, ADA ramps, bikeways, and other pertinent issues will be considered in the design of facility planning bridge project to ensure pedestrian safety.

### **Cost Change**

Increase due to addition of two bridges to the program and the addition of FY09-10 to this ongoing project.

## **STATUS**

Ongoing

## OTHER

Candidates for this program are identified through the County biennial bridge inspection program as being deficient, load restricted, or geometrically substandard. The Planning, Design, and Supervision (PD&S) costs for all bridge designs include all costs up to contract preparation. At that point, future costs and Federal aid will be included in stand-alone PDFs. \*Expenditures will continue indefinitely. Candidate Projects:

East Gude Drive Bridge No. 131-4 over CSX Railroad, Clarksburg Road Bridge No. 9, Burning Tree Road Bridge No. 112.

APPROPRIATION AN	COORDINATION				
EXPENDITURE DATA	Maryland Department of the En				
Date First Appropriation	FY91	(\$000)	Maryland Department of Natura		
Initial Cost Estimate		124	Maryland-National Capital Park		
First Cost Estimate			Commission		
Current Scope	FY05	7,457	Department of Permitting Service		
Last FY's Cost Estimate		6,652	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers		
Present Cost Estimate		7,457	Maryland State Highway Admin		
			Federal Highway Administration		
Appropriation Request	FY05	806	Utility Companies		
Appropriation Request Est.	FY06	0	Maryland Historic Trust		
Supplemental					
Appropriation Request	FY04	0	CSX Railroad		
Transfer		0	WMATA		
			Rural/Rustic Roads Legislation		
Cumulative Appropriation		5,652			
Expenditures/					
Encumbrances		5,519			
Unencumbered Balance		133			
Partial Closeout Thru	FY02	0			
New Partial Closeout	FY03	0			
Total Partial Closeout		0			

# COORDINATION

Maryland Department of the Environment Maryland Department of Natural Resources Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission Department of Permitting Services U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Maryland State Highway Administration Federal Highway Administration **Utility Companies** Maryland Historic Trust CSX Railroad **WMATA** 

